Fracture Mechanics, Damage and Fatigue Non Linear Fracture Mechanics – Cohesive Zone Model

Ludovic Noels

Computational & Multiscale Mechanics of Materials – CM3 <u>http://www.ltas-cm3.ulg.ac.be/</u> Allée de la découverte 9, B4000 Liège L.Noels@ulg.ac.be





Fracture Mechanics – NLFM – Cohesive Zone Model

Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics (LEFM)



Asymptotic solution governed by stress intensity factors



2

- Cracked body: summary
 - Potential energy $\Pi_T = E_{int} Qu$
 - Crack closure integral
 - Energy required to close crack tip

$$\Delta \Pi_T = \int_{\Delta A} \int_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\boldsymbol{u} + \Delta \boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{t} \cdot [\boldsymbol{u}'] d\boldsymbol{u}' dA$$

- Energy release rate
 - Variation of potential energy in case of crack growth

$$G = -\partial_{\rm A} \left(E_{\rm int} - W_{\rm ext} \right) = -\partial_{A} \Delta \Pi_{T}$$

• In linear elasticity

$$G = -\partial_A \Delta \Pi_T = -\lim_{\Delta A \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta A} \int_{\Delta A} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \llbracket \Delta \mathbf{u} \rrbracket \, dA$$



- In linear elasticity & if crack grows straight ahead

$$G = \frac{K_I^2}{E'} + \frac{K_{II}^2}{E'} + \frac{K_{III}^2}{2\mu} \qquad E' = \frac{4E}{(1+\nu)(\kappa+1)} = \begin{cases} E & P\sigma \\ \frac{E}{1-\nu^2} & P\varepsilon \end{cases}$$





- Cracked body: summary
 - J-integral
 - Strain energy flow

$$J = \int_{\Gamma} \left[U\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right) \boldsymbol{n}_{x} - \boldsymbol{u}_{,x} \cdot \boldsymbol{T} \right] dl$$

- Exists if an internal potential exists
 - Is path independent if the contour Γ embeds a straight crack tip
 - No assumption on subsequent growth direction
 - Can be extended to plasticity if no unloading (see later)
- If crack grows straight ahead \implies G=J
- In linear elasticity (independently of crack growth direction):

$$J = \frac{K_I^2}{E'} + \frac{K_{II}^2}{E'} + \frac{K_{III}^2}{2\mu} \qquad E' = \frac{4E}{(1+\nu)(\kappa+1)} = \begin{cases} E & P\sigma \\ \frac{E}{1-\nu^2} & P\varepsilon \end{cases}$$







Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics (LEFM)

- Analytical
 - SIF from full-field solution
 - Limited cases

$$\implies \begin{cases} K_I = \sigma_\infty \sqrt{\pi a} \\ K_{II} = \tau_\infty \sqrt{\pi a} \\ K_{III} = \tau_\infty \sqrt{\pi a} \end{cases}$$



- From energetic consideration
 - Growing straight ahead crack
 - From J-integral
- Numerical (e.g. FEM)

 $\implies \begin{cases} K_I = \beta_I \sigma_\infty \sqrt{\pi a} \\ K_{II} = \beta_{II} \tau_\infty \sqrt{\pi a} \\ K_{III} = \beta_{III} \tau_\infty \sqrt{\pi a} \end{cases}$

- β_i depends on geometry & crack length
- Tabulated solutions (handbooks)

http://ebooks.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/book.aspx?bookid=230 2021-2022 Fracture Mechanics – NLFM – Cohesive Zone Model 5

 $G = -\partial_{A} (E_{int} - W_{ext}) \Longrightarrow G = \frac{K_{I}^{2}}{E'} + \frac{K_{II}^{2}}{E'} + \frac{K_{III}^{2}}{2\mu}$ $J = \frac{K_{I}^{2}}{E'} + \frac{K_{II}^{2}}{E'} + \frac{K_{III}^{2}}{2\mu}$

LIÈGE université

- Small Scale Yielding assumption
 - LEFM: we have assumed the existence of a K-dominance zone



- This holds if the process zone (in which irreversible process occurs) •
 - Is a small region compared to the specimen size &
 - Is localized at the crack tip
- Validity of this approach?
 - We check the dimensions

a,
$$W - a > 2.5 \left(\frac{K_I}{\sigma_p^0} \right)^2$$
 «Process zone size

- Non-linear fracture mechanics
 - Derivation of the LEFM validity criterion
 - Providing solutions when LEFM criterion is not met







- Elasto-plasticity (small deformations)
 - Beyond a threshold the material experiences irreversible deformations
 - Typical behavior at low/room temperature
 - Curves σ - ϵ independent of time
 - At higher temperature creep ...
 - Yield surface

 $f(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \leq 0 \begin{cases} f < 0: \text{ elastic region} \\ f = 0: \text{ plasticity} \end{cases}$

- Plastic flow
 - Assumption: deformations can be added $d\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = d\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{e}} + d\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{p}} \implies d\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathcal{H} : d\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{e}}$
 - Normal plastic flow $d\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{p}} = d\lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}$
- Path dependency (incremental equations in d)





Material behavior: Elasto-plasticity



Material behavior: Elasto-plasticity

- Existence of energy release rate?
 - Free energy

•
$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{e}, \bar{\varepsilon}^{p}) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{e} : \mathcal{H} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{e} + h(\bar{\varepsilon}^{p})$$

• $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{e}}$ & $\sigma_{p} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}^{p}} = h'$

- But
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^e} \neq \frac{\partial U}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$$

 $\partial oldsymbol{arepsilon}^e$

- No unique value of σ for a given ε ٠
- Since $\sigma(\varepsilon^e)$ depends on the history •

 \blacktriangleright neither G nor J exists

We assume no possible unloading _

> We recover an energy $U(\varepsilon)$ with $U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{e}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) : \mathcal{H} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{e}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + h(\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))$

and with (see next slide)

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$$











Material behavior: Elasto-plasticity







- J2-plasticity without unloading
 - Internal energy

•
$$U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{e}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}): \mathcal{H}: \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{e}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + h(\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))$$

• With
$$h(\bar{\varepsilon}^p(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})) = \int_{\bar{\varepsilon}^p} \sigma_p d\bar{\varepsilon}^p$$

- Example: Perfect plasticity

• We have
$$\sigma_p(\bar{\varepsilon}^p) = \sigma_p^0$$

$$\implies U = \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{e}} : \mathcal{H} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{e}}}{2} + \sigma_p^0 \bar{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\mathbf{p}}$$







Dugdale (1960) & Barenblatt (1962)'s cohesive model



12

Dugdale (1960) & Barenblatt (1962)'s cohesive model

 σ_p^{U}

 $\sigma_p^{\ l}$

- Validity of cohesive zone/Yielding strip
 - Validity of Dugdale's model
 - Glassy polymers: PVC, Plexiglas, under transition temperature (from "ductile" to brittle)
 - Thin sheets of elastic perfectly plastic material
 - Low-C steels exhibiting Lüders' bands
 - Dislocations motion is initially
 - blocked by solute atoms
 - Once freed, the yielding point decreases



- Validity of Barenblatt's model
 - Brittle metals

*Lüders bands formation in steel, contributed by <u>Mike Meier</u>, University of California, Davis







- Description of the model
 - Determine the size r_p of the cohesive zone so that stress remains finite everywhere
 - As non-linearities
 - Are localized in the process zone &
 - Are replaced by boundary conditions the problem can be solved using LEFM
 - Therefore the superposition principle holds
 - The solution is the superposition of the three following cases







Fracture Mechanics – NLFM – Cohesive Zone Model



- Resolution of case 1
 - Tension

$$\sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{\infty}$$

$$\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{xy} = 0$$

$$= 0$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \nu)(3 - \kappa)}{4E} \sigma_{\infty}$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \nu)(1 + \kappa)}{4E} \sigma_{\infty}$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \nu)(3 - \kappa)}{4E} \sigma_{\infty} x \qquad \sigma_{\infty}$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \nu)(1 + \kappa)}{4E} \sigma_{\infty} y$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \nu)(1 + \kappa)}{4E} \sigma_{\infty} y$$

$$= \frac{3 - \nu}{1 + \nu} \quad \& \text{ for plane } \varepsilon: \ \kappa = 3 - 4\nu$$

$$= \frac{3 - \nu}{1 + \nu} \quad \& \text{ for plane } \varepsilon: \ \kappa = 3 - 4\nu$$

$$\sigma_{\infty}$$

• $\sigma(r, \theta = 0) = \sigma_{\infty}$

 $a a+r_p x$ |

- So on crack lips
$$(\theta = \pm \pi)$$

• $u_y = \frac{(1+\nu)(1+\kappa)}{4E} \sigma_{\infty} y = 0$







- Resolution of case 2
 - See lecture on SIF, with *a* replaced by $a+r_p$
 - Stress beyond cohesive zone tip •

$$\sigma_{yy} \left(\theta = 0\right) = \sigma_{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 - (a + r_p)^2}} - 1\right)$$
$$\to \sigma_{\infty} \left(\sqrt{\frac{a + r_p}{2(x - a - r_p)}} - 1\right)$$



y θ n $a+r_p$ x a $\downarrow_{n^+}^{l}$

Displacement of crack and cohesive zone lips •

$$\lim_{\theta \to \pm \pi} \boldsymbol{u}_y = \pm \frac{(1+\nu)(\kappa+1)\sigma_{\infty}}{2E} \sqrt{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}$$







- Resolution of case 3
 - Analytical solution (reminder):
 - For any $\Omega \& \omega$

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{xx} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}' - \frac{\bar{\zeta}\boldsymbol{\Omega}'' + \boldsymbol{\omega}'' + \zeta\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}'' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}''}{2} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}' + \frac{\bar{\zeta}\boldsymbol{\Omega}'' + \boldsymbol{\omega}'' + \zeta\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}'' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}''}{2} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{xy} = i\frac{\zeta\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}'' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}'' - \bar{\zeta}\boldsymbol{\Omega}'' - \boldsymbol{\omega}''}{2} \\ \boldsymbol{u} = -\frac{1+\nu}{E} \left(\zeta\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}' - \kappa\boldsymbol{\Omega}\left(\zeta\right)\right) \end{cases}$$



satisfy 2D linear elastic and isotropic equations

 \implies Choose $\Omega \& \omega$ to satisfy the BCs

- Mode I (Westergaard):

$$\implies \omega'' = -\zeta \Omega'' \implies \sigma_{xy} = -y2\mathcal{R}(\Omega'') \implies$$
 no shearing for $y = 0$







- Resolution of case 3 (2)
 - Analytical solution (reminder):
 - For any $\Omega \& \omega$

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{xx} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}' - \frac{\bar{\zeta}\boldsymbol{\Omega}'' + \boldsymbol{\omega}'' + \zeta \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}'' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}''}{2} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}' + \frac{\bar{\zeta}\boldsymbol{\Omega}'' + \boldsymbol{\omega}'' + \zeta \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}'' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}''}{2} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{xy} = i\frac{\zeta \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}'' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}'' - \bar{\zeta}\boldsymbol{\Omega}'' - \boldsymbol{\omega}''}{2} \\ \boldsymbol{u} = -\frac{1+\nu}{E} \left(\zeta \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}' + \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}' - \kappa \boldsymbol{\Omega} \left(\zeta\right)\right) \end{cases}$$



• Mode I (Westergaard): $\omega'' = -\zeta \Omega''$ $\sigma_{xx} = 2\mathcal{R} (\Omega') - 2y\mathcal{I} (\Omega'')$ $\sigma_{yy} = 2\mathcal{R} (\Omega') + 2y\mathcal{I} (\Omega'')$ Only Ω to be defined $\sigma_{xy} = -y2\mathcal{R} (\Omega'')$ $u_x = \mathcal{R} (u) = \frac{1+\nu}{E} [(\kappa - 1) \mathcal{R} (\Omega) - 2y\mathcal{I} (\Omega') - \mathcal{R} (C)]$ $u_y = \mathcal{I} (u) = \frac{1+\nu}{E} [(\kappa + 1) \mathcal{I} (\Omega) - 2y\mathcal{R} (\Omega') + \mathcal{I} (C)]$





- Resolution of case 3 (3)
 - Mode I solution

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{xx} = 2\mathcal{R}\left(\Omega'\right) - 2y\mathcal{I}\left(\Omega''\right) \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy} = 2\mathcal{R}\left(\Omega'\right) + 2y\mathcal{I}\left(\Omega''\right) \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{xy} = -y2\mathcal{R}\left(\Omega''\right) \end{cases}$$

- Problem is to find Ω' so that BCs are satisfied _
 - On crack lips (x < a), we want to satisfy

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mp 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{zz} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mp \sigma_{yy} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mp 2\mathcal{R}(\Omega') \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• On cohesive zone lips $(a < x < a + r_p)$, we want to satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \mp \sigma_p^0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{t} = \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \mp 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \sigma_{yy} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{zz} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \mp \sigma_{yy}\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \mp 2\mathcal{R}(\Omega')\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$













- Resolution of case 3 (5)
 - For $\zeta = x \pm |\mathcal{E}|$ *i*, with $x < a + r_p$,



2021-2022



Resolution of case 3 (6)



- So we have different behaviors for y = 0
 - On crack lips (x < a)
 - On cohesive zone $(a < x < a + r_n)$
 - Ahead of crack tip $(x>a+r_p)$
- Full demonstration in Annex 1





• Resolution of case 3 (7)

- Stress field for $\zeta = x \pm |\varepsilon| i$, with $a + r_p < x$ can be directly deduced from Ω'

$$\Omega' = -\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2}} \operatorname{arcotan} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\frac{a}{\zeta} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right)}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy}} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\frac{a}{\zeta} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2 - (a+r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right)}_{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \underbrace{\frac{2\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 - (a+r_p)^2}} \operatorname{arcotan} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}}_{\frac{2\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{x^2 - (a+r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right)}$$







- Yielding strip Model (2)
 - Case 1, 2 & 3: Stress field (2)
 - The resulting stress field reads

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy} \left(y = 0 \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < a \\ \sigma_p^0 & \text{if } a < x < a + r_p \\ \frac{2\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \arctan\left(\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{x^2 - (a + r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right) & \text{if } a + r_p < x \end{cases}$$







 $\mathbf{2}$

- Yielding strip Model (3)
 - Cohesive zone length
 - The cohesive zone has a size $r_p = a \left(\sec \frac{\sigma_{\infty} \pi}{2\sigma_n^0} 1 \right)$

• Since
$$\frac{1}{\cos x} \simeq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x^2}{2}} \simeq 1 + \frac{x^2}{2}$$

In Small Scale Yielding we have $r_p \simeq \frac{a\pi^2}{8} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\infty}}{\sigma_p^0}\right)$







- Resolution of case 3 (8)
 - Displacement field for $\zeta = x \pm |\varepsilon| i$, $a + r_p > x$, can be directly deduced from Ω

•
$$\boldsymbol{u}_{y}\left(\theta \to \pi\right) = \frac{\left(1 + \nu\right)\left(1 + \kappa\right)}{E} \mathcal{I}\Omega\left(\theta \to \pi\right)$$

• But we know



$$\Omega' = -\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2}} \operatorname{arcotan} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\frac{a}{\zeta} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right)$$

• After integration (see appendix 2), one has

$$\Omega = -\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \sqrt{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2} \operatorname{arcotan} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - \frac{\sigma_p^0 a}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \frac{\sigma_p^0 \zeta}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\frac{a}{\zeta} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right)$$





• Resolution of case 3 (9)

- Displacement field for $\zeta = x \pm |\varepsilon|$ *i*, $a + r_p > x$, can be directly deduced from Ω

•
$$\boldsymbol{u}_{y}\left(\theta \to \pi\right) = \frac{\left(1+\nu\right)\left(1+\kappa\right)}{E}\mathcal{I}\Omega\left(\theta \to \pi\right)$$

• Then we have (see Appendix 2)



$$\begin{split} u_{y}\left(\theta \to \pm \pi, \, r < r_{p}\right) &= \pm \frac{\left(1 + \nu\right)\left(1 + \kappa\right)\sigma_{p}^{0}}{E\pi} \left[-\frac{\sigma_{\infty}\pi}{2\sigma_{p}^{0}}\sqrt{\left(a + r_{p}\right)^{2} - x^{2}} + \right. \\ &= \operatorname{a \, arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_{p}\right)^{2} - x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2} + 2ar_{p}}} - x \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_{p}\right)^{2} - x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2} + 2ar_{p}}}\right)\right] \\ &= \pm \frac{\left(1 + \nu\right)\left(1 + \kappa\right)\sigma_{p}^{0}}{E\pi} \left[-\frac{\sigma_{\infty}\pi}{2\sigma_{p}^{0}}\sqrt{\left(a + r_{p}\right)^{2} - x^{2}} + \right. \\ &= \operatorname{a \, arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_{p}\right)^{2} - x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2} + 2ar_{p}}} - x \operatorname{arcoth} \left(\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_{p}\right)^{2} - x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2} + 2ar_{p}}}\right)\right] \end{split}$$



• Crack Opening Displacement (COD)
- Case 1, 2 & 3: displacement
• Case 1 (infinite plane):
$$u_y = \frac{(1+\nu)(1+\kappa)}{4E}\sigma_{\infty}y$$

• Case 2 (crack loaded by σ_{ω}): $\lim_{\theta \to \pm \pi} u_y = \pm \frac{(1+\nu)(\kappa+1)\sigma_{\infty}}{2E}\sqrt{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}}$
• Case 3 (cohesive zone closed):
- On cohesive zone lips
 $u_y (\theta \to \pm \pi, r < r_p) = \pm \frac{(1+\nu)(1+\kappa)\sigma_p^0}{E\pi} \left[-\frac{\sigma_{\infty}\pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\sqrt{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2} + a \operatorname{arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - x \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right)\right]$
- On crack lips
 $u_y (\theta \to \pm \pi, r > r_p) = \pm \frac{(1+\nu)(1+\kappa)\sigma_p^0}{E\pi} \left[-\frac{\sigma_{\infty}\pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\sqrt{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2} + a \operatorname{arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - x \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right)\right]$

- Crack Opening Displacement (COD) (2)
 - Crack Displacement Opening is the superposition of the 3 cases

$$\llbracket \boldsymbol{u}_y \rrbracket = 2 \frac{(1+\nu)(1+\kappa)\sigma_p^0}{E\pi} \left[a \operatorname{arcoth}\left(\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right) - xf\left(\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right) \right]$$

with *f* standing for arctanh is $a < x < a + r_p$ and for arcoth is x < a



- Dugdale's experiments
 - Mild steel specimen
 - 0.05% C, 0.4% Mn, 0.013% N
 - Annealed at 900°C after cut
 - Yield: $\sigma_p^0 = 190 \text{ MPa}$
 - Young: *E* = 210 GPa
 - 2 cases
 - Edge cracks and centered cracks
 - Crack length small compared to W
 - Various *P* as to approach yield
 - Measure of r_p
 - Specimen cut
 - Cut polished and etched
 - These are not fracture tests







- Dugdale's experiments (2)
 - Results compared to $r_p = a \left(\sec \frac{\sigma_{\infty} \pi}{2\sigma_p^0} 1 \right)$
 - The formula holds for
 - Centered crack
 - Edge cracks
 - As long as $a+r_p \ll W$ (infinite plate assumption)

Edge crack			Centered crack			
<i>a</i> (cm)	P/Wt (MPa)	r _p (cm)	a (cm)	P/Wt (MPa)	r_p (cm)	Theory • Edge cracks 3 × Centered cracks
1.27	50.16	0.107	1.27	56.24	0.1448	
1.016	76	0.224	1.27	69.92	0.2362	
0.63	94.24	0.221	1.016	86.64	0.2946	
0.63	104.9	0.31	1.016	106.4	0.5	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.8 \\ \hline \sigma_{\infty} / \hat{\sigma}_{p}^{0} \end{array} $
0.63	121.6	0.47	0.63	126.16	0.5182	
0.63	152	1.19	0.63	144.4	0.8890	
0.254	170.24	1	0.381	164.16	1.1379	





Cohesive models & J-integrals

• Relation with J-integral

- Assuming no unloading, $U(\varepsilon)$ exists:
- J integral is path independent, so

•
$$J = \int_{\Gamma_1} \left[U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \boldsymbol{n}_x - \boldsymbol{u}_{,x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \right] dl$$

 $\implies J = -\int_{\Gamma_{2-5}} \left[U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \boldsymbol{n}_x - \boldsymbol{u}_{,x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \right] dl$

- On the different curves:
 - On Γ_2 and Γ_5 :

$$- \boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{0} \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

- The crack is stress free $\implies \sigma \cdot n = 0$
- Integration on Γ '
 - Vanishes as its length tends toward 0
- On Γ_3 (and Γ_4):

$$- \boldsymbol{n}_{x} = 0 \Longrightarrow U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\boldsymbol{n}_{x} = 0$$

$$\implies J = \int_{\Gamma_{3,4}} \boldsymbol{u}_{,x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \, dl$$







Cohesive models & J-integrals

Relation with *J*-integral (2) - J integral reduces to Γ_2 Γ_3 • $J = \int_{\Gamma_{3,4}} \boldsymbol{u}_{,x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \, dl$ a+r Γ_5 On the remaining different curves • On Γ_3 : $- \mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{e}_{v} \implies \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{\sigma}_{vv}(\delta) \mathbf{e}_{v}$ σ_{∞} $\longrightarrow (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{x} = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy}(\delta)\boldsymbol{e}_{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{x} = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy}(\delta)\boldsymbol{u}_{yx}$ - dl = dx On Γ₄: $- \mathbf{n} = + \mathbf{e}_{v} \implies \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} = + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{vv}(\delta) \mathbf{e}_{v}$ 2a $\implies (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{x} = + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy}(\boldsymbol{\delta}) \boldsymbol{e}_{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{x} = + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy}(\boldsymbol{\delta}) \boldsymbol{u}_{y,x}$ - dl = -dxσ_{yy} (δ) $\implies J = -\int_{\Gamma_3} \boldsymbol{u}_{y,x} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy}(\delta) \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_4} \boldsymbol{u}_{y,x} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy}(\delta) \, dx$ $\implies J = -\int_{a}^{a+r_p} \llbracket \boldsymbol{u}_{y,x} \rrbracket \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy}(\delta) \, dx = -\int_{a}^{a+r_p} \delta_{,x} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{yy}(\delta) \, dx$ δ 2021-2022 34 Fracture Mechanics – NLFM – Cohesive Zone Model

Cohesive models & J-integrals

- Relation with *J*-integral (2)
 - J integral is rewritten as

$$J = -\int_{a}^{a+r_{p}} \sigma_{yy}(\delta) \delta_{x} dx$$
$$\implies J = -\int_{\delta_{t}}^{0} \sigma_{yy}(\delta) d\delta = \int_{0}^{\delta_{t}} \sigma_{yy}(\delta) d\delta$$

- The flow of energy toward the crack tip corresponds to the separation energy of the cohesive zone
- J-integral can be used in plasticity and could be used as a crack growth criterion $J=J_C$
- This criterion could also be related to a critical crack tip opening $\delta_t = \delta_C$
- In the particular case of Dugdale's models:

$$J_{\text{Dugdale}} = \sigma_p^0 \int_0^{\delta_t} d\delta = \sigma_p^0 \delta_t = 8 \frac{\left(\sigma_p^0\right)^2 a}{E' \pi} \ln\left(\sec\frac{\sigma_\infty \pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\right)$$

• In this case, a criterion on *J* is directly related to a maximal value of δ_t : $J_C = \delta_C \sigma_p^{0}$



IEGE

 δ



- Can LEFM be extended to elastic perfectly plastic analysis?
 - It is possible if there is no extensive plasticity prior to fracture
 - Plastic zone small compared to characteristic lengths
 - A criterion of crack growth might be $J \ge J_C$
 - Are the SIFs still meaningful? If so how do we compute them?
 - The extension is based on the use of an "effective crack length"
 - Effective crack length $a_{ ext{eff}} = a + \eta r_p$ with η a factor to be determined
 - As we want to use LEFM, J is rewritten in terms of SIFs

- Mode I & infinite plane:
$$J = \frac{K_I^2 (a_{\text{eff}})}{E'}$$
 & $K_I = \sigma_{\infty} \sqrt{\pi a_{\text{eff}}}$
 $\implies J = 8 \frac{(\sigma_p^0)^2 a}{E' \pi} \ln\left(\sec \frac{\sigma_{\infty} \pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\right) = \frac{K_I^2 (a_{\text{eff}})}{E'} = \frac{\pi \sigma_{\infty}^2 a_{\text{eff}}}{E'}$
- So the effective length is $a_{\text{eff}} = \frac{8a}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\sigma_{\infty}}\right)^2 \ln\left(\sec \frac{\sigma_{\infty} \pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\right)$




- Can LEFM be extended to elastic perfectly plastic analysis (2)?
 - The extension is based on the use of an "effective crack length" (2)
 - Mode I & infinite plane: $a_{\text{eff}} = \frac{8a}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\sigma_\infty}\right)^2 \ln\left(\sec\frac{\sigma_\infty\pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\right)$ • If $\sigma_{\infty} < \sigma_{D}^{0}$ - Since $\sec(x) \to 1 + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{5x^4}{24}$ $\implies a_{\text{eff}} \to \frac{8a}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\sigma_\infty}\right)^2 \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_\infty \pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\right)^2 + \frac{5}{24} \left(\frac{\sigma_\infty \pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\right)^4\right)$ - Since $\ln(1+x) \to x - \frac{x^2}{2}$ Since $\ln(1+x) \to x - \frac{x}{2}$ $a_{\text{eff}} \to \frac{8a}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\sigma_\infty}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_\infty \pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\right)^2 + \frac{5}{24} \left(\frac{\sigma_\infty \pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\right)^4 - \left(\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\sigma_\infty \pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\right)^4\right)$ $\implies a_{\text{eff}} = a + \eta r_p \to a + \frac{a}{24} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\infty}\pi}{\sigma^0}\right)^2 = a + \frac{r_p}{2}$ Eventually, for SSY, $\eta = 1/3$ CTOD can be computed from $a_{\rm eff}$ as $J = \sigma_p^0 \delta_t = \frac{\pi \sigma_\infty^2 a_{\rm eff}}{\Sigma}$ •

$$\Longrightarrow \delta_t = \frac{\pi \sigma_\infty^2 a_{\text{eff}}}{E' \sigma_p^0} \to \frac{\pi \sigma_\infty^2 a}{E' \sigma_p^0} + \frac{\pi^3 \sigma_\infty^4 a}{24E' \left(\sigma_p^0\right)^3}$$



Fracture Mechanics – NLFM – Cohesive Zone Model



Small Scale Yielding (SSY) assumption



If σ_∞< 40% of σ_p⁰, then a first order SSY (or simply SSY) assumption holds
 If σ_∞< 60% of σ_p⁰, LEFM and SSY can still be used if a_{eff} is considered



Small Scale Yielding (SSY) assumption

- SSY (first order) for Dugdale's model
 - If σ_{∞} < 40% of σ_{p}^{0} then a first order SSY (or simply SSY) assumption holds
 - The cohesive zone is limited ($r_p < 20\%$ of *a*)
 - For a infinite plate in mode I, the *J*-integral is reduced to $J = \frac{\pi \sigma_{\infty}^2 a}{E'} = \frac{K_I^2(a)}{E'}$

SIFs concept of LEFM holds (without correction of the crack size)

- Size of the plastic (cohesive zone) $r_p \simeq \frac{\pi}{8} \left(\frac{K_I}{\sigma_n^0} \right)^2$
- As SSY requires $r_p < 20\%$ of *a*, elastic fracture criterion can be applied if

$$a \ge \frac{5\pi}{8} \left(\frac{K_C}{\sigma_p^0}\right)^2 \simeq 2 \left(\frac{K_C}{\sigma_p^0}\right)^2$$

- So crack length has to be large enough compared to the plastic zone
- The method is actually applicable if the plastic zone is small compared to
 - » The crack $(a > 5 r_p)$
 - » The distance from the crack tip to the nearest free surface ($L > 5 r_p$)
- If we are well before fracture (beginning of fatigue e.g.), we do not have to use K_C

$$a \geq \frac{5\pi}{8} \left(\frac{K_I}{\sigma_p^0}\right)^2 \simeq 2 \left(\frac{K_I}{\sigma_p^0}\right)^2$$







- SSY (first order) for Dugdale's model (2)
 - There is a blunting of the crack tip due to the cohesive zone



A fracture criterion base on the CTOD can therefore be defined

$$\delta_t = \delta_C = \frac{K_C^2}{E'\sigma_p^0}$$

- $\delta_{\rm C}$ can be measured experimentally •
- These relations are valid for Dugdale's model only ! _
 - Thin sheet (plane stress: $r_p >> t$) so E' = E
 - Low-C steel (perfectly plastic)
 - Glassy polymers •







- Effective crack length for Dugdale's model
 - If σ_{∞} < 60% of σ_{p}^{0} then a second order SSY assumption holds
 - The cohesive zone is not limited (exact r_p reached 70% of a)
 - For an infinite plate in mode *I*, the *J*-integral is reduced to

$$\implies J = \frac{K_I^2 \left(a_{\text{eff}} \right)}{E'} \text{ with } K_I = \sigma_{\infty} \sqrt{\pi a_{\text{eff}}}$$

SIFs concept of LEFM holds if corrected by the effective crack size

- As σ_{∞} < 60% of σ_{p}^{0} & r_{p} < 70% of *a*, the effective crack size can be stated as

$$a_{\text{eff}} = a + \frac{r_p}{3} \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{r_p}{3} = \frac{a_{\text{eff}}}{24} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\infty}\pi}{\sigma_p^0}\right)^2 \simeq \frac{\pi}{24} \left(\frac{K_I \left(a_{\text{eff}}\right)}{\sigma_p^0}\right)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Use of } \quad \frac{r_p}{3} = \frac{a}{24} \left(\frac{\sigma_{\infty}\pi}{\sigma_p^0}\right)^2 \simeq \frac{\pi}{24} \left(\frac{K_I \left(a\right)}{\sigma_p^0}\right)^2 \text{ is } 1^{\text{st}} \text{ order accurate}$$

- Expression $\frac{r_p}{3} = \frac{\pi}{24} \left(\frac{K_I(a_{\text{eff}})}{\sigma_r^0} \right)^2$ is correct for all cracks in finite plate*

- So there is an iterative procedure to follow:
 - a) compute K from a
 - b) compute effective crack size
 - c) compute new K from a_{eff} and back to b) if needed
- These equations are valid for Dugdale's model (see previous slide)

*Edmund & Willis, jmps, 1976, vol. 24, pp. 205 & 225 & 1977, vol. 25, p. 423

Fracture Mechanics - NLFM - Cohesive Zone Model



- If $\sigma_{\infty} < 40\%$ of σ_{p}^{0} & $a > 5 r_{p}$ then use first order SSY
 - Use classic LEFM
 - Remaining ligament should be > 5 r_p
- If $\sigma_{\infty} < 60\%$ of σ_{p}^{0} & $a > 1.4 r_{p}$ then use a second order SSY
 - Use classic LEFM but
 - Correct the crack size to obtain an effective crack size
 - There is an iterative procedure to follow
 - Remaining ligament should be > 1.4 r_p (see lecture on *J*-integral)
- If σ_{∞} > 60% of σ_{p}^{0} then use full expression
 - Compute the J --integral (see lecture on J-integral)
 - Ex: for an infinite plane (only) $J = 8 \frac{(\sigma_p^0)^2 a}{E' \pi} \ln\left(\sec\frac{\sigma_\infty \pi}{2\sigma_p^0}\right) \ge J_C$
 - With the new crack growth threshold $J_C = \delta_C$ (Temperature) σ_p^0
 - Remaining ligament should be > r_p (see lecture on *J*-integral)
- These equations are valid for Dugdale's model
 - Thin sheet of low-C steel or glassy polymers
 - For other materials, in plane strain, ...
 - The plastic zone has a different shape
 - Another model is required (even for the SSY)





Plastic

zone



- The cohesive method is based on Barenblatt model
 - This model is an idealization of the brittle fracture mechanisms
 - Separation of atoms at crack tips (cleavage)
 - As long as the atoms are not separated by a distance δ_t, there are attractive forces (see overview lecture)



- So the area below the σ - δ curve corresponds to G_C if crack grows straight ahead
- This model requires only 2 parameters
 - Peak cohesive traction σ_{max} (spall strength)
 - Fracture energy G_C
 - Shape of the curves has no importance as long as it is monotonically decreasing





- Insertion of cohesive elements
 - Between 2 volume elements
 - Computation of the opening (cohesive element)
 - Normal to the interface in the • deformed configuration N^{-}
 - Normal opening $\delta_n = \max(\llbracket \boldsymbol{u} \rrbracket \cdot \boldsymbol{N}^-, 0)$
 - Sliding

$$oldsymbol{\delta}_s = \llbracket oldsymbol{u}
rbracket - \llbracket oldsymb$$

• Resulting opening $\delta = \sqrt{\delta_n^2 + \beta_c^2 \| \boldsymbol{\delta}_s \|^2}$ with β_c the ratio between the shear and normal

critical tractions

- Definition of a potential _
 - Potential $\phi = \phi(\delta)$ to match the traction separation law (TSL) curve
 - Traction (in the deformed configuration) derives ٠

from this potential $t = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \delta} N^{-} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \delta} \frac{\delta_s}{\delta}$











- Computational framework
 - How are the cohesive elements inserted?
 - First method: intrinsic Law
 - Cohesive elements inserted from the beginning
 - So the elastic part prior to crack propagation is accounted for by the TSL
 - Drawbacks:
 - Requires a priori knowledge of the crack path to be efficient
 - Mesh dependency [Xu & Needelman, 1994]
 - Initial slope that modifies the effective elastic modulus
 - » Alteration of a wave propagation
 - This slope should tend to infinity [Klein et al. 2001]
 - » Critical time step is reduced
 - Second method: extrinsic law
 - Cohesive elements inserted on the fly when failure criterion (σ>σ_{max}) is verified [Ortiz & Pandolfi 1999]
 - Drawback:
 - Complex implementation in 3D especially for parallelization



Failure

 σ_{max}

 G_c

criterion

incorporated within the cohesive law

δ





Cohesive elements

Examples •





2021-2022

Fracture Mechanics – NLFM – Cohesive Zone Model



LIÈGE

Advantages of the method

- Can be mesh independent (non regular meshes)
- Can be used for large problem size
- Automatically accounts for time scale [Camacho & Ortiz, 1996]
 - Fracture dynamics has not been studied in these classes
- Really useful when crack path is already known
 - Debonding of fibers
 - Delamination of composite plies
 - ...
- No need for an initial crack
 - The method can detect the initiation of a crack
- Drawbacks
 - Still requires a conforming mesh
 - Requires fine meshes
 - So parallelization is mandatory
 - Could be mesh dependent







Exercise: Sheet with centered crack

- Sheet with centered crack:
 - Mild steel specimen
 - Yield: $\sigma_{p}^{0} = 190 \text{ MPa}$
 - Young: *E* = 210 GPa



- What is the limit load in terms of the slit size?
 - Use $\delta_C(T_1) = 0.1 \text{ mm}$ as fracture criterion
 - Using Dugdale's mode, compare different methods
 - SSY
 - Effective crack length
 - Full solution
- What happens if
 - W = 5 cm?
 - $\delta_C(T_2) = 0.7 \text{ mm} \& \delta_C(T_3) = 0.05 \text{ mm} (2W = 15 \text{ in. for these cases}) ?$







Exercise: Solution



$$K_I = \sigma_{\infty} \sqrt{\pi a} f\left(\frac{a}{W}\right) \text{ with } f\left(\frac{a}{W}\right) = \left[1 - 0.025 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right)^2 + 0.06 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right)^4\right] \sqrt{\frac{1}{\cos\frac{\pi a}{2W}}}$$

The applied stress leading to failure is therefore

$$\sigma_{\infty, \lim} = \frac{K_{I, \lim}}{\sqrt{\pi a} f\left(\frac{a}{W}\right)} \text{ with } \sigma_{\infty} = \frac{P}{2Wt} = 2067 \ P \ \mathrm{m}^{-2}$$

N.B. $2Wt = 15 * 0.05 * 0.0254^2 \text{ m}^2 = 1/2067 \text{ m}^2$

- Example: limit loading for a semi-crack length of 9 cm

 $P\left(a=9\,\mathrm{cm}\right) = \frac{63.10^{6}}{2067\sqrt{\pi0.09}} f\left(0.4724\right) \mathrm{N} = \frac{63.10^{6}}{2067\sqrt{\pi0.09}} \mathrm{I}.1618 \mathrm{N} = 49337 \,\mathrm{N}$





Exercise: Solution (2)







Exercise: Solution (3)



• The applied stress leading to failure is therefore

$$\sigma_{\infty, \lim} = \frac{K_{I, \lim}}{\sqrt{\pi a_{\text{eff}}} f\left(\frac{a_{\text{eff}}}{W}\right)} \text{ with } \sigma_{\infty} = \frac{P}{2Wt} = 2067 \ P \ \text{m}^{-2}$$

• The effective crack length is computed by

$$a_{\text{eff}} = a + \frac{r_p}{3} = a + \frac{\pi}{24} \left(\frac{K_{I, \text{lim}}}{\sigma_p^0}\right)^2 = a + \frac{\pi}{24} \frac{63^2}{190^2} = a + 0.0145 \text{ m}$$

• Example a = 9 cm

$$P(a = 9 \,\mathrm{cm}) = \frac{63.10^6}{2067\sqrt{\pi 0.1045} f(0.5486)} \,\mathrm{N} = \frac{63.10^6}{2067\sqrt{\pi 0.1045} 1.2366} \mathrm{N} = 43017 \,\mathrm{N}$$



51 👢



Exercise: Solution (4)



Exercise: Solution (5)



Example a = 1 cm ٠

$$P(a = 1 \text{ cm}) = \frac{2\ 190.10^6}{2067\pi} \arccos\left(\exp\frac{-210.10^9\ 19.10^3\pi}{8\ 0.01\ (190.10^6)^2}\right) = 91173\text{N}$$







Exercise: Solution (6)



- So the correct J integral and the correct r_p should be evaluated (see lecture on J)
- The expression of an infinite plate can be used only below limit loading

Exercise: Solution (7)

Case W = 5 cm
In this case,
Neither SSY
Nor the effective length method is possible as W ~ predicted r_p
So the exact J-integral has to be used







Exercise: Solution (8)

• Case $W = 19.05 \text{ cm } \& \delta_C (T_2) = 0.7 \text{ mm}$

- SIF limit? $J_C = \delta_C (T) \sigma_p^0 = 133000 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$ $K_{I, \text{ lim}} = \sqrt{E J_C} = 167 \text{ MPa} \cdot \text{m}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $r_p \simeq \frac{\pi}{8} \left(\frac{K_{I, \text{ lim}}}{\sigma_p^0}\right)^2 = 0.3 \text{ m}$

In this case,

- Neither SSY
- Nor the effective length method

is possible as $W \sim \text{predicted } r_p$

So the exact *J*-integral would have to be used









• Case $W = 19.05 \text{ cm } \& \delta_C(T_3) = 0.05 \text{ mm}$









For ductile materials

- SSY is almost never a good approximation
 - The plastic zone is too large compared to crack size and remaining ligament
 - Except in very low loading
- Effective length scale
 - Can be used for
 - Critical loading estimation
 - » For large specimen and
 - » If crack length is in a specific range
 - Computation of SIF for fatigue
 - » As *K* is reduced, the plastic zone size is reduced
 - » So the crack length validity range is increased
- J-integral can be used, but
 - The infinite plane approximation is a good approximation only
 - If loading < 90% of yield
 - Crack size and plastic zone size << W
 - Exact solution can be computed (see lecture on *J*-integral)







References

- Lecture notes
 - Lecture Notes on Fracture Mechanics, Alan T. Zehnder, Cornell University, Ithaca, <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1813/3075</u>
- Other references
 - « on-line »
 - Fracture Mechanics, Piet Schreurs, TUe, <u>http://www.mate.tue.nl/~piet/edu/frm/sht/bmsht.html</u>
 - Book
 - Fracture Mechanics: Fundamentals and applications, D. T. Anderson. CRC press, 1991.
 - Fatigue of Materials, S. Suresh, Cambridge press, 1998.









Fracture Mechanics – NLFM – Cohesive Zone Model

- Case 3: Check boundary conditions (2)
 - For $\zeta = x \pm |\varepsilon| i$, with $x < a + r_p$ (2)
 - Let us assume y > 0, (solution for y < 0 is symmetric)

$$\Omega'(\theta \to \pi) = i\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \frac{x}{\sqrt{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}} \arctan \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + (a + r_p)^2 - x^2 + 1 + (a + r_p)^2 - x^2 + 1)$$





2a

or again if
$$(a^2 - x^2)(a + r_p)^2 > 0$$
 , so if $x < a$

- Then on the crack lips, the boundary condition

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mp 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{zz} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mp \sigma_{yy} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mp 2\mathcal{R}(\Omega') \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is satisfied



٠



X



Fracture Mechanics – NLFM – Cohesive Zone Model







- Case 3: Check boundary conditions (5) ۲
 - Far away from the crack:

$$\lim_{\zeta \to \infty} \Omega' = -\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \arctan \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \arctan \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} = 0$$

& so for $\lim_{|\zeta| \to \infty} \Omega'' = 0$
 $\implies \sigma_{xx}(\zeta \to \infty) \to 0 \& \sigma_{yy}(\zeta \to \infty) \to 0$ satisfied

Symmetry with respect to Ox? _

$$\begin{array}{l} \Omega\left(\bar{\zeta}\right) = \bar{\Omega} \\ \Omega'\left(\bar{\zeta}\right) = \bar{\Omega'} \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathcal{R}\left(\Omega\left(x - iy\right)\right) = \mathcal{R}\left(\Omega\left(x + iy\right)\right) \\ \mathcal{R}\left(\Omega'\left(x - iy\right)\right) = \mathcal{R}\left(\Omega'\left(x + iy\right)\right) \\ \mathcal{I}\left(\Omega\left(x - iy\right)\right) = -\mathcal{I}\left(\Omega\left(x + iy\right)\right) \\ \mathcal{I}\left(\Omega'\left(x - iy\right)\right) = -\mathcal{I}\left(\Omega'\left(x + iy\right)\right) \\ \mathcal{I}\left(\Omega'\left(x - iy\right)\right) = -\mathcal{I}\left(\Omega'\left(x + iy\right)\right) \end{cases} \\ \Longrightarrow \quad \mathbf{u}_{x}(\mathbf{-}y) = \mathbf{u}_{x}(y) \& \mathbf{u}_{y}(\mathbf{-}y) = -\mathbf{u}_{y}(y) \text{ satisfied} \end{cases}$$







- Case 3: Check boundary conditions (6)
 - Symmetry with respect to *Oy*?
 - **For** *x*=*0*

$$\Omega (x = 0) = \mp \frac{i\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \sqrt{y^2 + (a + r_p)^2} \operatorname{arcotan} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - \frac{\sigma_p^0 a}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\pm i \sqrt{\frac{y^2 + (a + r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} \right) + \frac{\sigma_p^0 i y}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\pm \frac{a}{y} \sqrt{\frac{y^2 + (a + r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} \right)$$
$$\Omega' (x = 0) = \frac{\pm \sigma_p^0}{\pi} \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^2 + (a + r_p)^2}} \operatorname{arcotan} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\pm \frac{a}{y} \sqrt{\frac{y^2 + (a + r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} \right)$$

• $\mathcal{R}(\Omega) = 0$ and $I(\Omega')=0 \implies u_x(x=0) = 0$ satisfied







- Case 3: Determine Ω form Ω'
 - The integration of

$$\Omega' = -\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2}} \operatorname{arcotan} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\frac{a}{\zeta} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right)$$

leads to
$$\Omega = -\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \sqrt{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2} \operatorname{arcotan} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - \frac{\sigma_p^0 a}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \frac{\sigma_p^0 \zeta}{\pi} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\frac{a}{\zeta} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta^2 - (a+r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right)$$

- This result follows from direct derivation, using $d_{\zeta} \arctan \zeta = -\frac{1}{1+\zeta^2}$

which yields
$$\Omega' = -\frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{\zeta^2 - (a + r_p)^2}} \operatorname{arcotan} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \frac{\sigma_p^0 a\zeta}{\pi (\zeta^2 - u^2)} \sqrt{\frac{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}{\zeta^2 - (a + r_p^2)^2}} + \frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi (\zeta^2 - u^2)} \operatorname{arcotan} \left(\frac{a}{\zeta} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta^2 - (a + r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right) - \frac{\sigma_p^0}{\pi (\zeta^2 - u^2)} \frac{\zeta^3 (r_p^2 + 2ar_p)}{\zeta^2 (r_p^2 + 2ar_p) - a^2 (a + r_p)^2 + a^2 \zeta^2} \left[-\frac{a}{\zeta^2} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta^2 - (a + r_p)^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} \right]$$



2021-2022

Fracture Mechanics – NLFM – Cohesive Zone Model



Displacement field of case 3

- Displacement field on crack lips for $\zeta = x + |\varepsilon| i$, with $x < a + r_p$
 - Displacement field on upper crack lips is obtained from •

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{u}_{y}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}\rightarrow\boldsymbol{\pi}\right) &= \frac{\left(1+\nu\right)\left(1+\kappa\right)}{E}\mathcal{I}\Omega\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}\rightarrow\boldsymbol{\pi}\right)\\ \text{with } \Omega\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}\rightarrow\boldsymbol{\pi}\right) &= -\frac{\sigma_{p}^{0}i}{\pi}\sqrt{\left(a+r_{p}\right)^{2}-x^{2}}\operatorname{arcotan}\frac{a}{\sqrt{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} - \\ &\frac{\sigma_{p}^{0}a}{\pi}\operatorname{arcotan}\left(i\sqrt{\frac{\left(a+r_{p}\right)^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}}\right) + \frac{\sigma_{p}^{0}x}{\pi}\operatorname{arcotan}\left(\frac{ai}{x}\sqrt{\frac{\left(a+r_{p}\right)^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}}\right) \\ & \xrightarrow{\alpha} \end{split}$$

or again
$$\Omega\left(\theta \to \pi\right) = -\frac{\sigma_p^0 i}{\pi} \sqrt{\left(a + r_p\right)^2 - x^2} \arctan \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - \frac{\sigma_p^0 a i}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - \frac{1}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p} + \frac{\sigma_p^0 x i}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a + r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - 1}{\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a + r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + 1} + \frac{\sigma_p^0 x i}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a + r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - 1}{\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a + r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + 1}$$







Displacement field of case 3 (2)

- Displacement field for $\zeta = x + |\varepsilon| i$, with $x < a + r_p$ (2)

• If all \ln are real, which means if x < a, then

- As
$$\operatorname{arcoth} x = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x+1}{x-1}$$
,

$$\Omega\left(\theta \to \pi\right) = -\frac{\sigma_p^0 i}{\pi} \sqrt{\left(a+r_p\right)^2 - x^2} \operatorname{arcotan} \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \frac{\sigma_p^0 a i}{\pi} \operatorname{arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a+r_p\right)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - \frac{\sigma_p^0 x i}{\pi} \operatorname{arcoth} \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a+r_p\right)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right)$$

- And the displacement field for x < a, is

$$\begin{aligned} u_y \left(\theta \to \pi \right) &= \frac{\left(1 + \nu \right) \left(1 + \kappa \right) \sigma_p^0}{E\pi} \left[-\sqrt{\left(a + r_p \right)^2 - x^2} \arctan \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + a \operatorname{arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_p \right)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - x \operatorname{arcoth} \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_p \right)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$



2021-2022



• Displacement field of case 3 (3)

- Displacement field for $\zeta = x + |\varepsilon| i$, with $a < x < a + r_p$

• If x > a, the second \ln is not real, and using $\ln z = \ln |z| + i \arg z$ leads to

$$\Omega\left(\theta \to \pi\right) = -\frac{\sigma_p^0 i}{\pi} \sqrt{\left(a + r_p\right)^2 - x^2} \arctan\frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - \frac{\sigma_p^0 a i}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{(a + r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - 1}{\sqrt{(a + r_p)^2 - x^2}}\right) + \frac{\sigma_p^0 x}{2} + \frac{\sigma_p^0 x i}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{1 - \frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{(a + r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}}{\sqrt{(a + r_p)^2 - x^2}}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial_p a_l}{2\pi} \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}}{\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}} \right) + \frac{\partial_p x}{2} + \frac{\partial_p x}{2\pi} \ln \left(\frac{\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}}{\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}} + 1 \right)$$

- As
$$\operatorname{arctanh} x = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x+1}{1-x}$$
, it yields

$$\begin{split} \Omega\left(\theta \to \pi\right) &= -\frac{\sigma_p^0 i}{\pi} \sqrt{\left(a + r_p\right)^2 - x^2} \arctan\frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} + \frac{\sigma_p^0 x}{2} + \\ \frac{\sigma_p^0 a i}{\pi} \operatorname{arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_p\right)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - \frac{\sigma_p^0 x i}{\pi} \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_p\right)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right) \end{split}$$





• Displacement field of case 3 (4)

- Displacement field on crack and cohesive zone lips
 - The cohesive zone size is such that

$$\sigma_{\infty} = \frac{2\sigma_p^0}{\pi} \arctan \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}$$

• If x > a, then the displacement field becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{u}_{y} \left(\theta \to \pm \pi, \, r < r_{p} \right) &= \pm \frac{\left(1 + \nu \right) \left(1 + \kappa \right) \sigma_{p}^{0}}{E \pi} \left[-\frac{\sigma_{\infty} \pi}{2 \sigma_{p}^{0}} \sqrt{\left(a + r_{p} \right)^{2} - x^{2}} + \right. \\ & \left. a \operatorname{arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_{p} \right)^{2} - x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2} + 2 a r_{p}}} - x \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_{p} \right)^{2} - x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2} + 2 a r_{p}}} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

• If *x* < *a*, we previously found

$$\begin{aligned} u_y \left(\theta \to \pm \pi, \ r > r_p\right) &= \pm \frac{\left(1 + \nu\right) \left(1 + \kappa\right) \sigma_p^0}{E\pi} \left[-\frac{\sigma_\infty \pi}{2\sigma_p^0} \sqrt{\left(a + r_p\right)^2 - x^2} + a \operatorname{arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_p\right)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}} - x \operatorname{arcoth} \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_p\right)^2 - x^2}{r_p^2 + 2ar_p}}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$





- Crack Opening Displacement (COD)
 - Case 1, 2 & 3: displacement

• Case 1 (infinite plane): $u_y = \frac{(1+\nu)(1+\kappa)}{4E} \sigma_{\infty} y$ • Case 2 (crack loaded by σ_{∞}): $\lim_{\theta \to \pm \pi} u_y = \pm \frac{(1+\nu)(\kappa+1)\sigma_{\infty}}{2E} \sqrt{(a+r_p)^2 - x^2}$

Case 3 (cohesive zone closed): •

On cohesive zone lips

$$u_{y} (\theta \to \pm \pi, r < r_{p}) = \pm \frac{(1+\nu)(1+\kappa)\sigma_{p}^{0}}{E\pi} \left[-\frac{\sigma_{\infty}\pi}{2\sigma_{p}^{0}} \sqrt{(a+r_{p})^{2} - x^{2}} + a \operatorname{arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2} - x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2} + 2ar_{p}}} - x \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2} - x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2} + 2ar_{p}}} \right) \right]$$

$$- \text{ On crack lips} \boldsymbol{u}_{y} \left(\theta \to \pm \pi, \, r > r_{p} \right) = \pm \frac{\left(1 + \nu \right) \left(1 + \kappa \right) \sigma_{p}^{0}}{E\pi} \left[-\frac{\sigma_{\infty} \pi}{2\sigma_{p}^{0}} \sqrt{\left(a + r_{p} \right)^{2} - x^{2}} + a \operatorname{arcoth} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_{p} \right)^{2} - x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2} + 2ar_{p}}} - x \operatorname{arcoth} \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a + r_{p} \right)^{2} - x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2} + 2ar_{p}}} \right) \right]$$



2021-2022

Fracture Mechanics – NLFM – Cohesive Zone Model



- Case 3: Crack Tip Opening Displacement
 - The upper limit becomes

$$\lim_{x \to a^{+}} \left[\left[\boldsymbol{u}_{y} \right] \right] = \lim_{x \to a^{+}} 8 \frac{\sigma_{p}^{0}}{E'\pi} \left[a \operatorname{arcoth} \left(\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} \right) - x \operatorname{arcoth} \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} \right) \right] \\ = \lim_{x \to a^{+}} 4 \frac{\sigma_{p}^{0}}{E'\pi} \left[a \ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} + 1 \right) - a \ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} - 1 \right) - x \ln \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} - 1 \right) \right] \\ = x \ln \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} + 1 \right) + x \ln \left(\frac{a}{x} \sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} - 1 \right) \right]$$

- Rearranging the terms leads to

$$\begin{split} \lim_{x \to a^{+}} \left[\!\left[\boldsymbol{u}_{y}\right]\!\right] &= \lim_{x \to a^{+}} 4\frac{\sigma_{p}^{0}}{E'\pi} \left[-a\ln\left(\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}}-1\right) + \\ &\left(x-a\right)\ln\left(\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}}-1\right) + a\ln\left(\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}}-1\right) \right] \\ &= \lim_{x \to a^{+}} 4\frac{\sigma_{p}^{0}}{E'\pi} \left[a\ln\left(\frac{\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}}-1}{\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}}-1}\right) + \frac{(x-a)\ln\left(\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}}-1\right)}{Polynomial "wins" on ln} \right] \end{split}$$






Appendix 2: COD of Dugdale's cohesive zone/Yielding strip Model

- Case 3: Crack Tip Opening Displacement (2)
 - Hospital's theorem yields

$$\lim_{x \to a^{+}} \frac{\frac{a}{x}\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} - 1}{\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} - 1} = \lim_{x \to a^{+}} \frac{-\frac{a}{x^{2}}\sqrt{\frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}\sqrt{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}}}{\frac{-x}{\sqrt{r_{p}^{2}+2ar_{p}}\sqrt{(a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}}}}$$
$$\lim_{x \to a^{+}} \frac{-\frac{a}{x^{2}}\left((a+r_{p})^{2}-x^{2}\right) - a}{-x} = \frac{(a+r_{p})^{2}}{a^{2}}$$

 $x \rightarrow a^+$

And eventually

$$\lim_{x \to a^+} \left[\!\!\left[\boldsymbol{u}_y \right]\!\!\right] = 4 \frac{\sigma_p^0}{E'\pi} a \ln \frac{(a+r_p)^2}{a^2} = 8 \frac{\sigma_p^0}{E'\pi} a \ln \frac{a+r_p}{a} = 8 \frac{\sigma_p^0}{E'\pi} a \ln \sec \frac{\sigma_\infty \pi}{2\sigma_p^0}$$

• Where the size of the cohesive zone $r_p = a \left(\sec \frac{\sigma_{\infty} \pi}{2\sigma_n^0} - 1 \right)$ has been used





